## SGAC Conceptual Arch Business Services Workshop - 2010-10-11

Monday, October 11, 2010 11:39

LOCATION:	ACTION ITEMS:	
California ISO	Action Item:	Person Responsible:
Folsom, CA		<b>•</b>
PRESENT:		<b>•</b>
Doug Houseman (EnerNex), Ron		<b>&gt;</b>
Ambrosio (IBM Research), Joe Hughes		
(EPRI), Sean Crimmins (CAISO), Sila		

## Minutes:

A. <Day one>

Kiliccote (LBNL)

- a. Summary of steps so far
  - i. National Goals
    - 1) 400 goals identified
  - ii. Requirements
    - 1) 7800 requirements
    - 2) Consolidated down to 400-500 high-level requirements
  - iii. Business Services
    - 1) Expect ~400
  - iv. Automation Services
    - 1) Some of these services may not be part of the Business Services list
      - a) e.g., information system-related services
    - 2) Given ~400 Business Services, we'd expect ~650 Automation Services
  - v. Actor List (this is going on in parallel)
    - 1) Try to get down to about 30 actors
  - vi. Interactions
    - 1) Business Services/Actors as input
    - 2) Which Actors interact with which Business Services
    - 3) Which Business Services interact with which other Business Services
    - 4) Identify the list of messages for those interactions
    - a) Will not define the content of those messages
- b. Tasks
  - i. Review high-level requirements
    - 1) Complete coverage?
    - 2) High-level?
    - 3) Technology/Actor agnostic?
    - 4) Permissive?
  - ii. Find/Fix problems in #1
    - 1) When adding new requirements, be sure to add in both the high-level spreadsheet, and the original raw requirements spreadsheet
  - iii. Write business services definitions
- c. Assigned SG Conceptual Model Domains to each workshop participant
  - i. Markets Crimmins
  - ii. Operations Crimmins
  - iii. Services Kiliccote
  - iv. Generation Houseman
  - v. Transmission Hughes (check?)
  - vi. Distribution Hughes
  - vii. Customer-Ambrosio
  - viii. Cross-Cutting-Hughes
- d. Initial 4-5 hour session of reviewing and cleaning up high-level requirements input
  - i. Then reviewed each person's results with the whole team and made additional adjustments after discussion of each change
- e. Continued to reviewing and cleaning up high-level requirements input for the remainder of day one
- B. Day two
  - a. Continued reviewing and finalizing adjustments to the high-level requirements for each domain through midday
    - i. Doug then consolidated the revisions back into a single high-level requirements spreadsheet.
  - b. Reviewed characteristics of well-formed business services definitions
  - c. Agreed to continue with the same Domain assignments, and spent the remainder of the day drafting business services definition s based on the high-level requirements
    - i. Mid-afternoon spent time reviewing the initial drafts as a group, and discussing revisions and improvements
- C. Day three
  - a. Continued working individually or in pairs drafting business services definitions for all domains

## D. Day four

- a. Completed business services definitions by mid-morning
- b. For each domain, then mapped the 10 top-level National Goals (from the goal decomposition hierarchy) against the revised high-level requirements completed on day two, to assure complete coverage of the National Goals
- c. Final task was to run through about 10 representative use cases to validate the completeness of the draft business services
  - $i. \quad \text{Made adjustments/additions where necessary to the business services definitions}$
- d. Meeting ended early afternoon

Next Meeting:	
Date:	Time:
Location:	
Agenda Items:	
[List of items to be discusse	d at the next meeting]
Minutes taken by: Ron Ambro	osio